| SOUTHERN DISTRICT | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------------------|
| | | X | |
| BETTA MOMENTS, | | : | |
| | Plaintiff, | : : | 24-CV-5973 (JMF) |
| -V- | | : : | |
| MAYOR ERIC ADAMS | et al., | : : | MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER |
| | Defendants. | : : | |
| | | : X | |
| | | | |

JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge:

Betta Moments, a state prisoner proceeding here without counsel, identifies herself as a "black Transwoman Female." ECF No. 1 ("Compl."), at 4. She brings this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against Mayor Eric Adams and others alleging that, when she was detained on Rikers Island, her constitutional rights were violated because the jail's commissary did not include cosmetic products "suitable for black people." *Id.* She asserts that this is "pure bias and racist" that it has caused her "mental depression" and humiliation; she seeks \$1.4 million in damages. *Id.* at 4-5. Defendants now move, pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to dismiss. *See* ECF No. 17; *see also* ECF No. 18 ("Defs.' Mem.").

Defendants' motion must be and is granted because Moments's Complaint fails to plausibly allege a constitutional violation. Liberally construed, the Complaint plainly alleges a claim of discrimination in violation of the Equal Protection Clause. To state a claim under the Equal Protection Clause, however, a plaintiff must plausibly allege that the defendants acted with "discriminatory intent or purpose." *Vill. of Arlington Heights v. Metro. Hous. Dev. Corp.*, 429 U.S. 252, 265 (1977). That is, a plaintiff must plausibly allege that the defendants "selected or reaffirmed a particular course of action at least in part 'because of,' not merely 'in spite of,' its

discriminatory impact and not discriminatory intent).

adverse effects upon an identifiable group." *Hayden v. Paterson*, 594 F.3d 150, 163 (2d Cir. 2010). Moments fails to do so. Instead, her claim rests entirely on conclusory assertions. *See* Compl. 4 (alleging that the commissary contract "has proven to be bias [sic] towards the ethnicity of Black people"); *id.* ("This is pure bias and racist by all means."). Such assertions "are not entitled to the assumption of truth" and, thus, are insufficient to state a plausible equal protection claim. *Hayden*, 594 F.3d at 161-62; *cf. Betts v. McCaughtry*, 827 F. Supp. 1400, 1404-05 (W.D. Wis. 1993) (holding that prison restrictions on music and grooming implements did not constitute purposeful racial discrimination where, as here, the plaintiffs alleged only

Moments's Complaint could also be construed to allege a conditions-of-confinement claim in violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. To state such a claim, however, a plaintiff "must plausibly allege an objective component — that the deprivation of rights was objectively serious — and a subjective component — that the prison official acted with requisite *mens rea*." *Louime v. Lamanna*, No. 21-CV-9594 (VB), 2023 WL 1385180, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 31, 2023). Moments does neither. Objectively speaking, constitutional violations occur when "prison officials . . . deprive an inmate of [her] basic human needs such as food, clothing, medical care, and safe and sanitary living conditions." *Id.* at *6. The failure to stock cosmetics matching Moments's complexion does not rise to that level and qualify as "objectively serious." *See, e.g., Murray v. U.S. Bureau of Prisons*, No. 95-5204, 1997 WL 34677, at *2 (6th Cir. 1997) (per curiam) (unpublished) (holding that the denial of makeup to an inmate did not violate the Eighth Amendment because "[c]osmetic products are not among the minimal

_

Such a claim would arise under the Due Process Clause rather than the Eighth Amendment because Moments was a pretrial detainee during the events at issue, although the relevant standards are the same. *See, e.g., Weyant v. Okst,* 101 F.3d 845, 856 (2d Cir. 1996).

civilized measure of life's necessities"). Subjectively speaking, to state a conditions-of-confinement claim, a plaintiff "must allege a defendant acted with more than mere negligence"; instead, she must allege that the defendant "kn[e]w of, and disregard[ed], an excessive risk to inmate health or safety." *Louime*, 2023 WL 1385180, at *6 (internal quotation marks omitted). Moments fails to plead that any Defendant acted with that mental state. *See* Compl. 4-5.

For these reasons, the Court GRANTS Defendants' motion and DISMISSES Moments's Complaint.² That said, mindful of Moments's *pro se* status, the Court grants her leave to amend the Complaint to state a claim of race discrimination in violation of the Equal Protection Clause. The Court does so despite the fact that Moments had an opportunity to amend the Complaint in response to Defendants' motion and was even warned that she would "not be given any further opportunity to amend the complaint to address issues raised by the motion to dismiss." ECF No. 20, at 1. That is because Moments could conceivably cure the defects in her equal protection claim by alleging additional facts about Defendants' intent.³ And while Defendants mentioned the words "equal protection" in a subject header in their memorandum of law, their substantive argument was limited to the conditions of confinement. *See* Defs.' Mem. 6-8. Moments shall file any amended complaint within thirty days of the date of this Memorandum Opinion and

3

The Court need not and does not reach Defendants' other arguments for dismissal, except to note that their argument that the Complaint should be dismissed for failure to exhaust is without merit because, as Defendants themselves acknowledge, see Defs.' Mem. 4-5, the failure to exhaust is an affirmative defense and a plaintiff is thus not required to affirmatively plead exhaustion, see, e.g., Stokes v. de Blasio, No. 17-CV-7890 (JGK), 2019 WL 132279, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 8, 2019). Accordingly, a district court can dismiss a complaint for failure to exhaust only "if it is clear on the face of the complaint that the plaintiff did not satisfy the . . . exhaustion requirement." *Id.* That is not the case here.

By contrast, the defects in Moments's conditions-of-confinement claim are substantive, so amendment of that claim would be futile. *See, e.g., Roundtree v. NYC*, No. 19-CV-2475 (JMF), 2021 WL 1667193, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 28, 2021) (collecting cases).

Order. An amended complaint form that Moments should use for that purpose is attached to this Memorandum Opinion and Order. In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Moments should provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting her claim of race discrimination in violation of the Equal Protection Clause against each Defendant. Moments should include all of the information in the amended complaint that she wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. Essentially, the amended complaint should tell the Court: who allegedly violated Moments's federally protected rights under the Equal Protection Clause and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why she is entitled to relief.

Because Moments's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original Complaint, any facts or claims that Moments wants to include from the original Complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint. If Moments does not file an amended complaint by the aforementioned deadline, the Court will enter an order directing the Clerk of Court to enter judgment in favor of Defendants and close the case.

The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate ECF No. 17 and to mail to Moments a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order, including the attached amended complaint form.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 18, 2025

New York, New York

United States District Judge

| UNITED STATES I SOUTHERN DISTR | DISTRICT COURT LICT OF NEW YORK | <u></u> | |
|---|---|--|--|
| (In the space above enter | the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).) | AMENDED COMPLAINT under the Civil Rights Act, | |
| | | 42 U.S.C. § 1983 | |
| | | Jury Trial: □ Yes □ No (check one) | |
| | | Civ () | |
| cannot fit the names of all please write "see attach additional sheet of paper listed in the above caption Part I. Addresses should | | | |
| I. Parties in this | complaint: | | |
| | me, identification number, and the name and address of your current place of Do the same for any additional plaintiffs named. Attach additional sheets of paper | | |
| Curren | t Institutions | | |
| B. List all defendation may be served. | nts' names, positions, places of employme | ent, and the address where each defendant clow are identical to those contained in the | |
| Defendant No. 1 | | Shield # | |

1 Rev. 01/2010

Case 1:24-cv-05973-JMF Document 30 Filed 07/18/25 Page 6 of 11 _____ Shield # Defendant No. 2 Name Where Currently Employed _____ Address Defendant No. 3 _____ Shield #_____ Where Currently Employed _____ Who did what? Defendant No. 4 _____ Shield #_____ Name Where Currently Employed Address Defendant No. 5 Name ____ _____ Shield #_____ Where Currently Employed _____ Address __ II. Statement of Claim: State as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each of the defendants named in the caption of this complaint is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary. A. In what institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? В. Where in the institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? C. What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? D. What

happened to you?

> 2 Rev. 01/2010

| as | |
|------------------------------|---|
| yone e | |
| volved? | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ho else w what ppened? | III. Injuries: |
| | If you sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and received. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | IV. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies: |
| | The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures. |
| | A. Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail, prison, or other correctional facility? |
| | Yes No |
| | = |

| giving | e the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where you were confined at the time of the rise to your claim(s). | | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| Does proce | the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance dure? | | | |
| Yes _ | No Do Not Know | | | |
| | Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose cover some or all of your claim(s)? | | | |
| Yes _ | No Do Not Know | | | |
| If YE | S, which claim(s)? | | | |
| Did y | ou file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose? | | | |
| Yes_ | No | | | |
| | O, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, n, or other correctional facility? | | | |
| Yes_ | No | | | |
| If you | a did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file the ance? | | | |
| 1. | Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? | | | |
| 2. | What was the result, if any? | | | |
| 3. the hi | What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal to ghest level of the grievance process. | | | |
| | | | | |
| If you | ı did not file a grievance: | | | |
| 1. | If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who your informed, when and how, and their response, if any: | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|
| | 2. | | | |
| | | | | |
| G. | Please set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative remedies. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Note: | You madmini | ay attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your strative remedies. | | |
| V. | Relief | | | |
| | | want the Court to do for you (including the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that g and the basis for such amount). | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| VI. | Previo | ous lawsuits: | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| A. | Have y | you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this | | |
| | Yes | No | | |
| B. | there i | answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If s more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper, using ne format.) | | |
| | 1. | Parties to the previous lawsuit: | | |
| | Plainti | Plaintiff | | |
| | | Defendants | | |
| | 2.Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county) | | | |
| | 3. | Docket or Index number | | |
| | 4. | Name of Judge assigned to your case | | |
| | 5. | Approximate date of filing lawsuit | | |
| | 6. | Is the case still pending? Yes No | | |
| | | If NO, give the approximate date of disposition | | |
| | 7. | What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?) | | |
| C. | | you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to your imprisonment? No | | |
| D. | If your answer to C is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (I there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using the same format.) | | | |
| | 1. | Parties to the previous lawsuit: | | |
| | Plaintiff | | | |
| | 2. | Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county) | | |
| | 3. | Docket or Index number | | |
| | 4. | Name of Judge assigned to your case | | |
| | 5. | Approximate date of filing lawsuit | | |

On these claims

On other claims

| 6. | Is the case still pending? Yes No | o | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|--|
| | If NO, give the approximate date of dis | sposition | | |
| 7. | judgment in your favor? Was the case | the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there n your favor? Was the case appealed?) | | |
| | | | | |
| I declare und | der penalty of perjury that the foregoing | g is true and correct. | | |
| Signed this _ | day of, 20 | | | |
| | Signature of Plaintiff | | | |
| | Inmate Number | | | |
| | Institution Address | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | laintiffs named in the caption of the complinmate numbers and addresses. | aint must date and sign the complaint and provid | | |
| I declare unde | er penalty of perjury that on this da | y of, 20, I am deliverin | | |
| this complaint | t to prison authorities to be mailed to the Pr | ro Se Office of the United States District Court for | | |
| the Southern | District of New York. | | | |
| | Signature of Plaintiff | | | |